arXiv:1610.07322v2 [math.NT] 26 Oct 2016

THE DUFFIN–SCHAEFFER THEOREM IN NUMBER FIELDS

MATTHEW PALMER

Abstract. The Duffin–Schaeffer theorem is a well-known result from metric number theory, which generalises Khinchin's theorem from monotonic functions to a wider class of approximating functions.

In recent years, there has been some interest in proving versions of classical theorems from Diophantine approximation in various generalised settings. In the case of number fields, there has been a version of Khinchin's theorem proven which holds for all number fields ([1]), and a version of the Duffin–Schaeffer theorem proven only in imaginary quadratic fields ([7]).

In this paper, we prove a version of the Duffin–Schaeffer theorem for all number fields.

In a 1941 paper, R. J. Duffin and D. J. Schaeffer proved a now much-celebrated result in Diophantine approximation and metric number theory, stating that if a function $\psi : \mathbb{N} \to \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}$ satisfies the conditions

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \psi(n) = \infty \tag{1}$$

and

$$\limsup_{N\to\infty} \frac{\sum_{n=1}^{N} \frac{\psi(n)\varphi(n)}{n}}{\sum_{n=1}^{N} \psi(n)} > 0 \tag{2}$$

(where φ is the Euler totient function), then the set $A'(\psi)$ defined by

$$A'(\psi) = \left\{ x \in [0,1] \;\middle|\; \left| x - \frac{a}{n} \right| < \frac{\psi(n)}{n} \text{ for infinitely many reduced } \frac{a}{n} \in \mathbb{Q} \right\} \tag{3}$$

is of Lebesgue measure 1. (This is Theorem I in [2].)

This result generalises a 1924 result of Khinchin ([5]), which has in place of (2) the less general condition that ψ is monotonically decreasing.

In Diophantine approximation in general, much effort has gone into trying to identify and prove analogues and natural generalisations of the main theorems (including those of Khinchin and of Duffin and Schaeffer) in different setups. One such very natural generalisation is to replace the rationals by a generic number field K, and to approximate elements of its various completions by elements of K. In 1965, Cantor ([1]) proved a version of Khinchin's theorem in this setup for general number fields, and in 1991 Nakada and Wagner ([7]) proved a version of the Duffin–Schaeffer theorem for imaginary quadratic fields.

Research supported by an EPSRC Doctoral Training Grant (EP/K502996/1) and by the Heilbronn Institute for Mathematical Research.

The results in this paper formed part of the author's doctoral thesis at the University of Bristol ([8]).

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 11J17, 11J83, 11K60.

In this paper, we prove a version of the Duffin–Schaeffer theorem for general number fields.

In §1, we will lay out the setup we will be working in, give the results of Cantor and of Nakada and Wagner, and state our main result (namely Theorem 1.2).

In §2, we will prove a version of Gallagher's classical zero-one law (see Theorem 1 in [3]) in our number fields setup (Theorem 2.1), and in §3, we will prove some useful overlap estimates (Lemma 3.1). Finally, in §4, we will use Theorem 2.1 and Lemma 3.1 to prove Theorem 1.2.

Contents

1. Setup and main result 2
1.1. Existing results 2
1.2. Diophantine approximation in general number fields 3
2. A zero-one law 4
3. Overlap estimates 9
4. Proving Theorem 1.2 10
References 11

1. Setup and main result

In this section, we give some of the existing results in the field, before going on to describe the setup we will be working in and state our main theorem.

1.1. Existing results.

The first work towards a version of the Duffin–Schaeffer theorem in number fields was done by Cantor, who proved a very general version of Khinchin's theorem in number fields (see Theorem 5.12 in [1]). Later, in 1991, Nakada and Wagner proved the following version of the Duffin–Schaeffer theorem for imaginary quadratic fields:

Theorem 1.1 (Theorem 2, [7])**.** *Let $K = \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{D})$, where D is a square-free negative integer, and let ψ be a non-negative function defined on $\mathcal{O}_K$ satisfying $\psi(\gamma) = \psi(u \cdot \gamma)$ for all units $u \in \mathcal{O}_K^\times$. Denote by $\Phi(\gamma)$ the Euler function of K, i.e. the number of reduced residue classes mod γ.*

Now suppose that

$$\sum_{\gamma \in \mathcal{O}_K} \psi(\gamma)^2 = \infty$$

and that for some constant $C > 0$, there exist infinitely many $R \in \mathbb{N}$ such that

$$\sum_{\substack{|\gamma| < R \\ \gamma \in \mathcal{O}_K}} \psi(\gamma)^2 < C \sum_{\substack{|\gamma| < R \\ \gamma \in \mathcal{O}_K}} \frac{\psi(\gamma)^2 \Phi(\gamma)}{|\gamma|^2}.$$

Then the inequality

$$\left| z - \frac{\alpha}{\gamma} \right| < \frac{\psi(\gamma)}{|\gamma|}, \quad (\alpha, \gamma) = 1, \quad \alpha, \gamma \in \mathcal{O}_K$$

has infinitely many solutions for almost all $z \in \mathbb{C}$.

However, we have some issues with this result. Namely, it does not allow for all elements of K to be used as approximants. While any element of $\mathbb{Q}$ can be written as $\frac{a}{n}$ for some $a, n \in \mathbb{Z}$ with $(a, n) = 1$, this is a fact that comes from uniqueness of factorisation, and hence the same cannot be said for a general element of an imaginary quadratic field, where we can have class number greater than 1. One famous example of non-unique factorisation is in $K = \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{-5})$, where we have

$$6 = 2 \cdot 3 = (1 + \sqrt{-5})(1 - \sqrt{-5}),$$

and hence the element $\frac{1+\sqrt{-5}}{2}$ has no unique reduced form as a quotient of elements. This suggests that the right way to state these sorts of results is by considering not elements, but ideals.

1.2. **Diophantine approximation in general number fields.** Let K be a number field of degree n. Let $\mathcal{O}_K$ denote its ring of integers, and let I_K denote the semigroup of ideals of $\mathcal{O}_K$. For any ideal $\mathfrak{n} \in I_K$, we define the Euler Φ-function $\Phi(\mathfrak{n})$ of $\mathfrak{n}$ to be given by

$$\Phi(\mathfrak{n}) = \#(\mathcal{O}_K/\mathfrak{n}\mathcal{O}_K)^\times.$$

Suppose that K has s real embeddings and t pairs of complex embeddings, and denote them by $\sigma_1, \dots, \sigma_s$ and $\tau_1, \dots, \tau_t$ respectively. We denote the set of all embeddings of K by Σ, and denote a generic embedding by ρ.

We also define $|\cdot|_{\mathbb{R}}$ to be the standard real absolute value, and $|\cdot|_{\mathbb{C}}$ to be the *square* of the standard complex absolute value. Then we define $|\cdot|_\rho$ to be either $|\cdot|_{\mathbb{R}}$ if ρ is real or $|\cdot|_{\mathbb{C}}$ if ρ is complex. (If we take the absolute value of something explicitly involving ρ, for example $|\rho(\gamma)|$ or $|x - \rho(\gamma)|$, we assume that the absolute value is with respect to ρ, and hence omit the subscript.)

For any element $\gamma \in K$, we define the norm $\mathrm{N}(\gamma)$ of γ by

$$\mathrm{N}(\gamma) = \prod_{\rho \text{ real}} \rho(\gamma) \prod_{\rho \text{ complex}} \rho(\gamma)\overline{\rho(\gamma)}.$$

We identify each element of K with an element of $\mathbb{R}^s \times \mathbb{C}^t$ by embedding it into each of its completions. That is to say, we define a map $\iota : K \to \mathbb{R}^s \times \mathbb{C}^t$ by

$$\iota(\alpha) = (\sigma_1(\alpha), \dots, \sigma_s(\alpha), \tau_1(\alpha), \dots, \tau_t(\alpha)).$$

The image $\iota(\mathcal{O}_K)$ of $\mathcal{O}_K$ under this map forms a lattice in $\mathbb{R}^s \times \mathbb{C}^t$. We fix a fundamental domain of this lattice, and denote it by D_K.

For each embedding $\rho \in \Sigma$, we choose a function $\psi_\rho : I_K \to \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}$. We combine these into one function $\underline{\psi}$ by defining

$$\begin{array}{rccc} \underline{\psi}: & I_K & \longrightarrow & \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}^{s+t} \\ & \mathfrak{n} & \longmapsto & \bigoplus_{\rho \in \Sigma} \psi_\rho(\mathfrak{n}). \end{array}$$

We also define a function $\Psi : I_K \to \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}$ by

$$\Psi(\mathfrak{n}) = \left(\prod_{\substack{\sigma \in \Sigma \\ \sigma \text{ real}}} \psi_\sigma(\mathfrak{n}) \right) \cdot \left(\prod_{\substack{\tau \in \Sigma \\ \tau \text{ complex}}} \psi_\tau(\mathfrak{n})^2 \right).$$

For any element $\gamma \in K$, we have a unique way of writing $(\gamma) = \frac{\mathfrak{a}}{\mathfrak{n}}$ with $\mathfrak{a}, \mathfrak{n} \in I_K$ and $(\mathfrak{a}, \mathfrak{n}) = 1$. Then we write $\operatorname{dnm} \gamma = \mathfrak{n}$.

For $\mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{R}^s \times \mathbb{C}^t$, we say $\gamma \in K$ is a $\underline{\psi}$-good approximation to $\mathbf{x}$ if we have

$$|x_\rho - \rho(\gamma)| \leq \psi_\rho(\operatorname{dnm}(\gamma))$$

for each $\rho \in \Sigma$, and we define a set $\mathcal{A}'(\underline{\psi})$ by

$$\mathcal{A}'(\underline{\psi}) = \left\{ \mathbf{x} \in D_K \;\middle|\; \begin{array}{l} \text{there exist infinitely many } \gamma \in K \text{ such} \\ \text{that } \gamma \text{ is a } \underline{\psi}\text{-good approximation to } \mathbf{x} \end{array} \right\}.$$

Then our version of the Duffin–Schaeffer theorem for number fields is as follows:

Theorem 1.2. *If we have*

$$\sum_{\mathfrak{n} \in I_K} \Phi(\mathfrak{n})\Psi(\mathfrak{n}) = \infty \tag{4}$$

and

$$\limsup_{R \to \infty} \frac{\sum_{\substack{\mathfrak{n} \in I_K \\ \mathrm{N}(\mathfrak{n}) \le R}} \Phi(\mathfrak{n})\Psi(\mathfrak{n})}{\sum_{\substack{\mathfrak{n} \in I_K \\ \mathrm{N}(\mathfrak{n}) \le R}} \mathrm{N}(\mathfrak{n})\Psi(\mathfrak{n})} > 0, \tag{5}$$

and $\underline{\psi}$ satisfies the boundedness condition

$$\psi_\rho(\mathfrak{n}) \le \frac{1}{2\,\mathrm{N}(\mathfrak{n})^{\frac{1}{s+t}}}, \tag{6}$$

then $\mathcal{A}'(\underline{\psi})$ has measure $\lambda(D_K)$.

In the next section, we will state and prove a zero-one law for sets of the form $\mathcal{A}'(\underline{\psi})$, which will be instrumental in proving Theorem 1.2.

2. A zero-one law

The statement we intend to prove is the following:

Theorem 2.1. *Suppose that $\Psi(\mathfrak{n}) \to 0$ as $\mathrm{N}(\mathfrak{n}) \to \infty$. Then the set $\mathcal{A}'(\underline{\psi})$ has measure 0 or $\lambda(D_K)$.*

Before we can prove this result, we will need a few lemmas.

Lemma 2.2. *Let $\{B_k\}_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$ be a sequence of boxes in $\mathbb{R}^s \times \mathbb{C}^t$ such that $\lambda(B_k) \to 0$ as $k \to \infty$, and let U_k be a sequence of measurable sets such that, for some positive $\varepsilon < 1$, we have*

$$U_k \subset B_k \quad \text{and} \quad \lambda(U_k) \ge \varepsilon \lambda(B_k)$$

for each $k \in \mathbb{N}$.

Then the set of points which belong to infinitely many of the U_k has the same measure as the set of points which belong to infinitely many of the B_k.

This lemma is an analogue of Lemma 2 in [3], and as the proof follows in exactly the same way, we will not give it here. The second lemma, which we will prove, is the following:

Lemma 2.3. *For any K and constant $C > 0$, there exists a bound $H_K(C)$ such that for all $\gamma \in \mathcal{O}_K$ with $\mathrm{N}(\gamma) > H_K(C)$, there exists some $u \in \mathcal{O}_K^\times$ with*

$$|\rho(u\gamma)| > C \text{ for all } \rho \in \Sigma.$$

Proof. Let $r = s + t - 1$, and let the fundamental units of $\mathcal{O}_K$ be denoted by $u_1, \dots, u_r$. We aim to show that for some $n_1, \dots, n_r \in \mathbb{Z}$ we have

$$|\rho_i(u_1^{n_1} \cdots u_r^{n_r}\gamma)| > C$$

for all $i = 1, \dots, r+1$. Using the logarithm map and writing

$$x_i := \sum_{j=1}^{r} n_j \log|\rho_i(u_j)| \quad \text{and} \quad \Gamma_i = \log|\rho_i(\gamma)|,$$

we find that this is equivalent to

$$x_1 > \log C - \Gamma_1, \quad \dots, \quad x_r > \log C - \Gamma_r,$$
$$x_1 + \cdots + x_r < \Gamma_{r+1} - \log C,$$

with the final inequality coming from the fact that $|\,\mathrm{N}(u_j)| = 1$ for each of the u_j, and hence we have

$$\sum_{i=1}^{r+1} x_i = 0.$$

The area contained by these inequalities contains a hypercube with side length $\frac{1}{2}(\log|\,\mathrm{N}(\gamma)| - (r+1)\log C)$, and the admissible values for the x_i (those for which the n_j are integers) form a lattice whose covolume is the regulator of K. Hence there will certainly be a constant depending only on K and C such that for all γ with $\mathrm{N}(\gamma)$ larger than this constant, there will be some element of the lattice contained in our hypercube, and hence some $n_1, \dots, n_r \in \mathbb{Z}$ such that

$$|\rho(u_1^{n_1} \cdots u_r^{n_r}\gamma)| > C$$

for each embedding $\rho \in \Sigma$ as required. □

Now we apply this to prove a final lemma.

Lemma 2.4. *Let K be a number field. Let $\mathcal{F}_K$ denote the set of fundamental units of K, and define Ω_K to be the constant*

$$\Omega_K = \max_{\rho\in\Sigma} \max_{u\in\mathcal{F}_K} |\rho(u)|.$$

For any element $\gamma, \delta \in \mathcal{O}_K$, define a map $T_{\gamma,\delta} : D_K \to D_K$ by

$$T_{\gamma,\delta} : x_\rho \mapsto \rho(\gamma)x_\rho + \rho\left(\tfrac{\delta}{\gamma}\right) \mod \iota(\mathcal{O}_K).$$

Then if a set $A \subseteq D_K$ satisfies

$$T_{\pi,\kappa}(A) \subseteq A \quad \text{and} \quad T_{u,0}(A) \subseteq A \text{ for all } u \in \mathcal{F}_K$$

for some $\pi, \kappa \in \mathcal{O}_K$ with $|\rho(\pi)| > \Omega_K$ for all $\rho \in \Sigma$, then the set A has measure 0 or $\lambda(D_K)$.

Proof. Suppose that $A \subseteq D_K$ is a set of positive measure such that $T(A) \subseteq A$. We want to show that A must have measure $\lambda(D_K)$.

As a subset of $\mathbb{R}^s \times \mathbb{C}^t$, we can treat A as a subset of $\mathbb{R}^n$, and hence we can apply the Lebesgue density theorem to say that A must have a density point $\mathbf{z}$. That is, for any $\delta > 0$ we can find $E > 0$ such that for all balls $B(\mathbf{z}, \varepsilon)$ of radius $\varepsilon < E$, we have that

$$\frac{\lambda(A \cap B(\mathbf{z}, \varepsilon))}{\lambda(B(\mathbf{z}, \varepsilon))} \geq 1 - \delta.$$

For each δ, consider $\varepsilon = e^{-b} < E$, where $b \in \mathbb{N}$, and take the set $B(\mathbf{z}, \varepsilon)$. For a map $T_{\gamma,\delta}$, we define a map $\tilde{T}_{\gamma,\delta} : \mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{R}^n$ which is just the map $T_{\gamma,\delta}$ without reducing mod $\iota(\mathcal{O}_K)$. We claim there exist $i, i_1, \dots, i_r \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$ such that if we define

$$T := \tilde{T}_{u_r,0}^{i_r} \circ \cdots \circ \tilde{T}_{u_1,0}^{i_1} \circ \tilde{T}_{\pi,\kappa}^{i},$$

then the set $T(B(\mathbf{z},\varepsilon))$ is such that

$$T(B(\mathbf{z},\varepsilon)) + \iota(\gamma) \supseteq D_K$$

for some $\gamma \in \mathcal{O}_K$ and such that we have

$$\operatorname{vol}(T(B(\mathbf{z},\varepsilon))) < C_{K,\pi}$$

for some constant $C_{K,\pi}$ depending on K and π, but *not* on ε.

Our ball $B(\mathbf{z},\varepsilon)$ has volume $C_1\varepsilon^n$, where C_1 depends only on K. It also contains a "box" $B_\ell(\varepsilon)$ given by

$$B_\ell(\varepsilon) = \prod_{\rho\in\Sigma} B\left(z_\rho, \tfrac{\varepsilon}{\sqrt{r+1}}\right)$$

with volume $C_\ell\varepsilon^n$, where C_ℓ also depends only on K.

If we apply T to the box $B_\ell(\varepsilon)$, we find that

$$T(B_\ell(\varepsilon)) = \prod_{\rho\in\Sigma} B_\rho\left(w_\rho, \frac{\varepsilon}{\sqrt{r+1}}\left|\rho\big(u_1^{i_1}\cdots u_r^{i_r}\pi^i\big)\right|^{\theta_\rho}\right)$$

for some $\mathbf{w}$, where $\theta_\rho = 1$ for real ρ, and $\frac{1}{2}$ for complex ρ.

Let $\{L_\rho\}_{\rho\in\Sigma}$ be elements of $\mathbb{R}_{>0}$ such that

$$D_K \subset \prod_{\rho\in\Sigma} B_\rho(0, L_\rho).$$

Then to guarantee that $T(B(\mathbf{z},\varepsilon)) \supset D_K$, we can just ensure that

$$\frac{\varepsilon}{\sqrt{r+1}}\left|\rho\big(u_1^{i_1}\cdots u_r^{i_r}\pi^i\big)\right|_\rho^{\theta_\rho} > L_\rho$$

for each $\rho\in\Sigma$. We also want i to be as small as possible, as the factors of π in our map T are the only factors which change the volume (by a factor of $\mathrm{N}(\pi)$).

Explicitly indexing our ρ, taking logarithms and rearranging gives

$$\sum_{k=1}^{r} i_k \log|\rho_j(u_k)| + i\log|\rho_j(\pi)| > \theta_j^{-1}\left(\log(L_j) + \frac{\log(r+1)}{2} + \log(\varepsilon^{-1})\right).$$

Writing

$$\alpha_{jk} = \log|\rho_j(u_k)|, \quad \Lambda_j = \log|\rho_j(\pi)|, \quad \ell_j = \theta_j^{-1}\left(\log(L_j) + \frac{\log(r+1)}{2}\right),$$

and noting that $\log\varepsilon^{-1} = \log e^b = b$, we can write these as a matrix equation (where the inequalities are just considered row-wise):

$$\begin{pmatrix} \alpha_{1,1} & \cdots & \alpha_{1,r} & \Lambda_1 \\ \vdots & \ddots & \vdots & \vdots \\ \alpha_{r+1,1} & \cdots & \alpha_{r+1,r} & \Lambda_{r+1} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} i_1 \\ \vdots \\ i_r \\ i \end{pmatrix} > \begin{pmatrix} \ell_1 + \theta_j^{-1}b \\ \vdots \\ \ell_{r+1} + \theta_j^{-1}b \end{pmatrix}.$$

If we first consider $i_k, i \in \mathbb{R}$, change the inequality to an equality and solve, we find that we have a solution $(i_1,\dots,i_r,i)\in\mathbb{R}^{r+1}$ with

$$i = \frac{\ell_1 + \cdots + \ell_{r+1}}{\log|\mathrm{N}(\pi)|} + \frac{bn}{\log|\mathrm{N}(\pi)|}.$$

Taking the floor of each of the components of this solution vector gives us integers. But then we only need a finite number of steps S (independent of ε) in the π-direction to get inside our required region.

So for some $S \in \mathbb{N}$ not depending on ε, we always have a solution with

$$i \leq \frac{\ell_1 + \cdots + \ell_{r+1}}{\log|\,\mathrm{N}(\pi)|} + \frac{bn}{\log|\,\mathrm{N}(\pi)|} + S.$$

Now we want to see whether applying T keeps the volume of $T(B(\mathbf{z},\varepsilon))$ below some constant $C_{K,\pi}$. The volume of $T(B(\mathbf{z},\varepsilon))$ is given by

$$\begin{aligned}\mathrm{vol}(T(B(\mathbf{z},\varepsilon))) &= |\,\mathrm{N}(\pi)|^i C_1 \varepsilon^n \\ &\leq |\,\mathrm{N}(\pi)|^S |\,\mathrm{N}(\pi)|^{\frac{\ell_1+s+\ell_{r+1}}{\log|\,\mathrm{N}(\pi)|}} |\,\mathrm{N}(\pi)|^{\frac{bn}{\log|\,\mathrm{N}(\pi)|}} C_1 \varepsilon^n \\ &= C_1 L_1 s L_s L_{s+1}^2 s L_{s+t}^2 (r+1)^{\frac{r+1}{2s}} |\,\mathrm{N}(\pi)|^S,\end{aligned}$$

which depends only on K and π as required.

Now, our map T just expands the measure of a set by a factor of $\mathrm{N}(\pi)^i$, and hence we have

$$\frac{\lambda(T(A \cap B(\mathbf{z},\varepsilon)))}{\lambda(T(B(\mathbf{z},\varepsilon)))} = \frac{\mathrm{N}(\pi)^i \lambda(A \cap B(\mathbf{z},\varepsilon))}{\mathrm{N}(\pi)^i \lambda(B(\mathbf{z},\varepsilon))} \geq 1 - \delta$$

and therefore

$$\lambda(T(A \cap B(\mathbf{z},\varepsilon))) \geq (1-\delta)\lambda(T(B(\mathbf{z},\varepsilon))).$$

So the sets

$$T(A \cap B(\mathbf{z},\varepsilon)) = T(A) \cap T(B(\mathbf{z},\varepsilon)) \quad \text{and} \quad T(B(\mathbf{z},\varepsilon))$$

differ by a set of measure at most

$$\delta T(B(\mathbf{z},\varepsilon)) \leq C_{K,\pi}\delta.$$

If we now reduce mod $\iota(\mathcal{O}_K)$, the measure of the difference between the resulting sets cannot increase, and hence the sets

$$T(A) \cap T(B(\mathbf{z},\varepsilon)) \mod \iota(\mathcal{O}_K)$$

and

$$T(B(\mathbf{z},\varepsilon)) \mod \iota(\mathcal{O}_K)$$

also differ by a set of measure at most $C_{K,\pi}\delta$. Then noting that $T(B(\mathbf{z},\varepsilon))$ mod $\iota(\mathcal{O}_K)$ is just D_K (since $T(B(\mathbf{z},\varepsilon)) \supset D_K$) and that

$$T(A) \cap T(B(\mathbf{z},\varepsilon)) \subseteq T(A) \subseteq A$$

by our assumption, we have that the difference between A and D_K has measure at most $C_{K,\pi}\delta$. Taking $\delta \to 0$ completes the proof. □

Now we have all of the necessary lemmas to prove our theorem.

Proof of Theorem 2.1. Note first that any number field K has infinitely many prime ideals. Let Ω_K be as in the statement of Lemma 2.4. Then by Lemma 2.3, there exists a constant C such that for all principal prime ideals $\mathfrak{p}$ with $\mathrm{N}(\mathfrak{p}) > C$, we can find a generator π of $\mathfrak{p}$ such that $|\rho(\pi)| > \Omega_K$ for all $\rho \in \Sigma$. (From now on in this proof, we only work with such ideals, and the statements about "all $\mathfrak{p}$", etc., are taken to refer to all ideals satisfying these conditions.)

Now, for each ideal $\mathfrak{p} = (\pi)$ and each $\nu \in \mathbb{N}$, we consider the approximation

$$|z_\rho - \rho(\gamma)| < |\rho(\pi)|^{\nu-1}\psi_\rho(\mathrm{dnm}(\gamma)) \text{ for all } \rho \in \Sigma. \tag{7}$$

Define sets $\mathbb{A}(\mathfrak{p}^\nu)$ by

$$z \in \mathbb{A}(\mathfrak{p}^\nu) \text{ if } \mathbf{z} \text{ satisfies (7) for infinitely many } \gamma \text{ with } \mathfrak{p} \nmid \operatorname{dnm}(\gamma),$$

and define

$$\mathbb{A}^*(\mathfrak{p}) = \bigcup_{\nu \in \mathbb{N}} \mathbb{A}(\mathfrak{p}^\nu).$$

By Lemma 2.2, the set $\mathbb{A}(\mathfrak{p}^\nu)$ has the same measure as $\mathbb{A}(\mathfrak{p})$ for any $\nu \in \mathbb{N}$, and then by combining this with the fact that $\mathbb{A}(\mathfrak{p}^\nu) \subseteq \mathbb{A}(\mathfrak{p}^{\nu+1})$ for any $\nu \in \mathbb{N}$, we find that $\mathbb{A}(\mathfrak{p})$ has the same measure as the union $\mathbb{A}^*(\mathfrak{p})$.

It is now easy to see that the map $T_{\pi,0}$ (as defined in Lemma 2.4) sends $\mathbb{A}(\mathfrak{p}^\nu)$ into $\mathbb{A}(\mathfrak{p}^{\nu+1})$, as does the map $T_{u,0}$ for each $u \in \mathcal{F}_K$. Hence all of these maps send $\mathbb{A}^*(\mathfrak{p})$ into itself, and therefore (by Lemma 2.4) the set $A^*(\mathfrak{p})$ must have measure 0 or $\lambda(D_K)$.

Now define $\mathbb{B}(\mathfrak{p}^\nu)$ by

$$\mathbf{z} \in \mathbb{B}(\mathfrak{p}^\nu) \text{ if } \mathbf{z} \text{ satisfies (7) for infinitely many } \gamma \text{ with } \mathfrak{p} \mid\mid \operatorname{dnm}(\gamma),$$

and

$$\mathbb{B}^*(\mathfrak{p}) = \bigcup_{\nu \in \mathbb{N}} \mathbb{B}(\mathfrak{p}^\nu).$$

By exactly the same argument, we can see that the maps $T_{\pi,1}$ and $T_{u,0}$ for each $u \in \mathcal{F}_K$ send $\mathbb{B}^*(\mathfrak{p})$ into itself, and hence that $\mathbb{B}^*(\mathfrak{p})$ must have measure 0 or $\lambda(D_K)$.

Next, define sets $\mathbb{C}(\mathfrak{p})$ by

$$\mathbf{z} \in \mathbb{C}(\mathfrak{p}) \text{ if } \mathbf{z} \text{ satisfies (7) for infinitely many } \gamma \text{ with } \mathfrak{p}^2 \mid \operatorname{dnm}(\gamma).$$

Then we note that for any $\mathfrak{p}$, we have

$$\mathcal{A}'(\underline{\psi}) = \mathbb{A}(\mathfrak{p}) \cup \mathbb{B}(\mathfrak{p}) \cup \mathbb{C}(\mathfrak{p}).$$

If any set $\mathbb{A}(\mathfrak{p})$ or $\mathbb{B}(\mathfrak{p})$ has non-zero measure, then it has measure $\lambda(D_K)$, and hence so does $\mathcal{A}'(\underline{\psi})$. So now assume that $\lambda(\mathbb{A}(\mathfrak{p})) = \lambda(\mathbb{B}(\mathfrak{p})) = 0$ for all $\mathfrak{p}$. Then we have

$$\lambda(\mathcal{A}'(\underline{\psi})) = \lambda(\mathbb{C}(\mathfrak{p}))$$

for all $\mathfrak{p}$. Next, note that if $\mathbf{z} \in \mathbb{C}(\mathfrak{p})$, then we have $\mathbf{z} + \frac{\kappa}{\pi} \in \mathbb{C}(\mathfrak{p})$ for any $\kappa \in \mathcal{O}_K$.

Now, suppose that $\mathcal{A}'(\underline{\psi})$ (and hence $\mathbb{C}(\mathfrak{p})$) has positive measure. Then we can consider a density point $\mathbf{y}$ of this set. By a similar argument to the one used in Lemma 2.4, there exists $C > 0$ such that for each $\mathfrak{p}$, we can find a generator π with

$$\frac{\max_\rho |\rho(\pi)|}{\min_\rho |\rho(\pi)|} < C.$$

Then if we consider the sequence of boxes B_π centred on $\mathbf{y}$ with length $\frac{1}{\rho(\pi)}$ in each direction, we have that

$$\frac{\lambda(\mathcal{A}'(\underline{\psi}) \cap B_\pi)}{\lambda(B_\pi)} \to 1$$

as $\mathrm{N}(\mathfrak{p}) \to \infty$. But since $\mathbb{C}(\mathfrak{p})$ is periodic with respect to $\frac{1}{\pi}\mathcal{O}_K$, and the sets $\mathcal{A}'(\underline{\psi})$ and $\mathbb{C}(\mathfrak{p})$ differ by a set of measure 0, we also have that

$$\frac{\frac{\lambda(D_K)}{\lambda(B_\pi)}\lambda(\mathcal{A}'(\underline{\psi}) \cap B_\pi)}{\lambda(\mathcal{A}'(\underline{\psi}))} \to 1$$

as $\mathrm{N}(\mathfrak{p}) \to \infty$. So we have that $\lambda(\mathcal{A}'(\underline{\psi})) = \lambda(D_K)$, as required. □

3. Overlap estimates

For an integral ideal $\mathfrak{n} \in I_K$, define a set $\mathcal{A}'_{\mathfrak{n}}(\underline{\psi})$ by

$$\mathcal{A}'_{\mathfrak{n}}(\underline{\psi}) = \left\{ \mathbf{x} \in D_K \;\middle|\; \begin{array}{c} \text{there is some } \gamma \in K \text{ with } \operatorname{dnm}\gamma = \mathfrak{n} \\ \text{such that } \gamma \text{ is a } \underline{\psi}\text{-good approximation to } \mathbf{x} \end{array} \right\}.$$

Note that we can write

$$\mathcal{A}'(\underline{\psi}) = \limsup_{\mathfrak{n} \in I_K} \mathcal{A}'_{\mathfrak{n}}(\underline{\psi}).$$

In this section, we want to prove the following lemma about these sets:

Lemma 3.1. *There exists some constant $C_K > 0$ such that for any two integral ideals $\mathfrak{m} \neq \mathfrak{n}$, we have*

$$\lambda(\mathcal{A}'_{\mathfrak{m}}(\underline{\psi}) \cap \mathcal{A}'_{\mathfrak{n}}(\underline{\psi})) \leq C_K \operatorname{N}(\mathfrak{m}) \operatorname{N}(\mathfrak{n}) \Psi(\mathfrak{m}) \Psi(\mathfrak{n}).$$

Proof. Define boxes $\underline{B}(\gamma, \underline{\psi}(\mathfrak{n}))$ by

$$\underline{B}(\gamma, \underline{\psi}(\mathfrak{n})) := \prod_{\rho \in \Sigma} B_\rho(\rho(\gamma), \psi_\rho(\mathfrak{n})).$$

Then we have

$$\mathcal{A}'_{\mathfrak{n}}(\underline{\psi}) = \left(\bigcup_{\substack{\gamma \in K \\ \operatorname{dnm}(\gamma) = \mathfrak{n}}} \underline{B}(\gamma, \underline{\psi}(\mathfrak{n})) \right) \cap D_K. \tag{8}$$

So we can bound the measure of the overlap between the two sets by counting the number of pairs of boxes which overlap, and then bounding the measure of the overlap between any two boxes.

For $\underline{B}(\beta, \underline{\psi}(\mathfrak{m}))$ and $\underline{B}(\gamma, \underline{\psi}(\mathfrak{n}))$ to overlap, we need

$$B_\rho(\rho(\beta), \psi_\rho(\mathfrak{m})) \quad \text{and} \quad B_\rho(\rho(\gamma), \psi_\rho(\mathfrak{n}))$$

to overlap for each $\rho \in \Sigma$. This certainly happens if we have

$$|\rho(\beta) - \rho(\gamma)|_\rho \leq 2 \max\{\psi_\rho(\mathfrak{m}), \psi_\rho(\mathfrak{n})\}$$

for each $\rho \in \Sigma$. If we write

$$\Delta_\rho := 2 \max\{\psi_\rho(\mathfrak{m}), \psi_\rho(\mathfrak{n})\},$$

then we want

$$|\rho(\beta - \gamma)| \leq \Delta_\rho$$

for each $\rho \in \Sigma$.

Set $\beta - \gamma = \theta$. If we write $\mathfrak{g} = \gcd(\mathfrak{m}, \mathfrak{n})$, then we have $\theta \in \frac{\mathfrak{g}}{\mathfrak{mn}} \mathcal{O}_K$, and we also have $\theta \neq 0$, since $\operatorname{dnm}\beta \neq \operatorname{dnm}\gamma$. So we want non-zero $\theta \in \frac{\mathfrak{g}}{\mathfrak{mn}} \mathcal{O}_K$ satisfying

$$|\rho(\theta)| \leq \Delta_\rho$$

for all $\rho \in \Sigma$. We can use [6] (Chapter V, Theorem 0) to bound the number of potential θ we can have above by

$$C \left(\prod_{\rho \in \Sigma} \Delta_\rho \right) \frac{\operatorname{N}(\mathfrak{m}) \operatorname{N}(\mathfrak{n})}{\operatorname{N}(\mathfrak{g})},$$

where C is some constant depending only on K.

So now we want a bound for each θ on the number of pairs (β, γ) such that

$$\beta, \gamma \in D_K, \quad \operatorname{dnm}\beta = \mathfrak{m}, \quad \operatorname{dnm}\gamma = \mathfrak{n}, \quad \beta - \gamma = \theta.$$

We can write $\mathfrak{m} = \mathfrak{g}\mathfrak{s}$ and $\mathfrak{n} = \mathfrak{g}\mathfrak{t}$, where $\gcd(\mathfrak{s}, \mathfrak{t}) = 1$. Now, write $\mathrm{N}(\mathfrak{m}) = m$, $\mathrm{N}(\mathfrak{n}) = n$, etc., and for each ideal $\mathfrak{a}$, define $\tilde{\mathfrak{a}}$ to be such that $\mathfrak{a}\tilde{\mathfrak{a}} = (a)$. Then

$$\beta = \frac{b}{gs} \quad \text{and} \quad \gamma = \frac{c}{gt},$$

where $b \in \tilde{\mathfrak{g}}\tilde{\mathfrak{s}}\mathcal{O}_K$ and $c \in \tilde{\mathfrak{g}}\tilde{\mathfrak{t}}\mathcal{O}_K$, and hence

$$tb - sc = gst\theta =: \Theta \in \tilde{\mathfrak{g}}\tilde{\mathfrak{s}}\tilde{\mathfrak{t}}\mathcal{O}_K.$$

Suppose two pairs (b, c) and (b', c') satisfy this. Then we have

$$tb - sc = \Theta = tb' - sc',$$

and hence

$$t(b - b') = s(c - c').$$

By comparing the left- and right-hand sides of this equation, we find that both sides lie in the space $\tilde{\mathfrak{g}}(s)(t)\mathcal{O}_K$, and hence any two solutions to $\beta - \gamma = \theta$ must have $\beta - \beta' \in \frac{1}{\mathfrak{g}}\mathcal{O}_K$, giving at most $\mathrm{N}(\mathfrak{g})$ solutions. So there can be at most

$$C\left(\prod_{\rho\in\Sigma} \Delta_\rho\right) \mathrm{N}(\mathfrak{m})\,\mathrm{N}(\mathfrak{n})$$

overlaps, with the size of each overlap being at most

$$\prod_{\rho \text{ real}} 2\min\{\psi_\rho(\mathfrak{m}), \psi_\rho(\mathfrak{n})\} \prod_{\rho \text{ complex}} \pi \min\{\psi_\rho(\mathfrak{m}), \psi_\rho(\mathfrak{n})\}^2.$$

Then the total size of the overlap is bounded above by the maximum number of overlaps multiplied by the maximum size of any given overlap. This simplifies to

$$C2^s\pi^t\Psi(\mathfrak{m})\Psi(\mathfrak{n})\,\mathrm{N}(\mathfrak{m})\,\mathrm{N}(\mathfrak{n}),$$

and hence we have our result. □

4. Proving Theorem 1.2

Now that we have our zero-one law and our overlap estimates, we can proceed to prove our main theorem.

Proof of Theorem 1.2. As before, note that we have

$$\mathcal{A}'(\underline{\psi}) = \limsup_{\mathfrak{n}\in I_K} \mathcal{A}'_{\mathfrak{n}}(\underline{\psi}).$$

First, we want to determine the measure of $\mathcal{A}'_{\mathfrak{n}}(\underline{\psi})$. Looking at (8), we see this is a union of boxes of the same measure. The measure of a single box is given by

$$\left(\prod_{\rho \text{ real}} 2\psi_\rho(\mathfrak{n})\right)\left(\prod_{\rho \text{ complex}} \pi\psi_\rho(\mathfrak{n})^2\right) = 2^s\pi^t\Psi(\mathfrak{n}),$$

and given our boundedness condition (6) in Theorem 1.2, the boxes overlapping D_K are just those with centres in D_K, which is $\Phi(\mathfrak{n})$. So we have that

$$\lambda(\mathcal{A}'_{\mathfrak{n}}(\underline{\psi})) = 2^s\pi^t\Phi(\mathfrak{n})\Psi(\mathfrak{n}).$$

We can now apply a standard measure-theoretic lemma (see for example Lemma 2.3 in [4]) to see that

$$\lambda(\mathcal{A}'(\underline{\psi})) \geq \limsup_{R\in\mathbb{N}} \left(\sum_{\substack{\mathfrak{n}\in I_K \\ \mathrm{N}(\mathfrak{n})\leq R}} \lambda(\mathcal{A}'_{\mathfrak{n}}(\underline{\psi})) \right)^2 \left(\sum_{\substack{\mathfrak{m},\mathfrak{n}\in I_K \\ \mathrm{N}(\mathfrak{m}),\mathrm{N}(\mathfrak{n})\leq R}} \lambda(\mathcal{A}'_{\mathfrak{m}}(\underline{\psi}) \cap \mathcal{A}'_{\mathfrak{n}}(\underline{\psi})) \right)^{-1}.$$

Using the overlap estimates from Lemma 3.1, we have that

$$\begin{aligned}
\frac{\left(\sum_{N(\mathfrak{n})\leq R} \lambda(\mathcal{A}'_{\mathfrak{n}}(\underline{\psi}))\right)^2}{\sum_{N(\mathfrak{m}),N(\mathfrak{n})\leq R} \lambda(\mathcal{A}'_{\mathfrak{m}}(\underline{\psi}) \cap \mathcal{A}'_{\mathfrak{n}}(\underline{\psi}))} &\geq C \frac{\left(\sum_{N(\mathfrak{n})\leq R} \Phi(\mathfrak{n})\Psi(\mathfrak{n})\right)^2}{\sum_{N(\mathfrak{m}),N(\mathfrak{n})\leq R} N(\mathfrak{m})N(\mathfrak{n})\Psi(\mathfrak{m})\Psi(\mathfrak{n})} \\
&= C \frac{\left(\sum_{N(\mathfrak{n})\leq R} \Phi(\mathfrak{n})\Psi(\mathfrak{n})\right)^2}{\left(\sum_{N(\mathfrak{n})\leq R} N(\mathfrak{n})\Psi(\mathfrak{n})\right)^2} \\
&= C \left(\frac{\sum_{N(\mathfrak{n})\leq R} \Phi(\mathfrak{n})\Psi(\mathfrak{n})}{\sum_{N(\mathfrak{n})\leq R} N(\mathfrak{n})\Psi(\mathfrak{n})} \right)^2.
\end{aligned}$$

Then since we assumed (5), we have that $\lambda(\mathcal{A}'(\underline{\psi})) > 0$, and hence (by Theorem 2.1) we have that $\lambda(\mathcal{A}'(\underline{\psi})) = \lambda(D_K)$ as required. □

References

[1] D. G. Cantor. On the elementary theory of diophantine approximation over the ring of adeles I. *Illinois J. Math.*, 9:677–700, 1965.

[2] R.J. Duffin and A.C. Schaeffer. Khintchine's problem in metric Diophantine approximation. *Duke Mathematical Journal*, 8:243–255, 1941.

[3] P.X. Gallagher. Approximation by reduced fractions. *J. Math. Soc. Jpn*, 13:342–345, 1961.

[4] Glyn Harman. *Metric number theory*. Oxford University Press, 1998.

[5] A. Khintchine. Einige Sätze über Kettenbrüche, mit Anwendungen auf die Theorie der Diophantischen Approximationen. *Math. Ann.* 92:115–125, 1924.

[6] Serge Lang. *Algebraic number theory*. Springer-Verlag New York, Inc., 1986.

[7] H. Nakada and G. Wagner. Duffin–Schaeffer theorem of diophantine approximation for complex numbers. *Astérisque*, 198–200:259–263, 1991.

[8] Matthew Palmer. *Diagonal Diophantine approximation and the Duffin–Schaeffer theorem in number fields*. PhD thesis, University of Bristol, 2016.

School of Mathematics, University of Bristol, Bristol, BS8 1TW
E-mail address: mp12500@bristol.ac.uk